

Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Summary

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview & Summary Information

Date Investment First Submitted: 2009-06-30
Date of Last Change to Activities:
Investment Auto Submission Date: 2012-02-27
Date of Last Investment Detail Update: 2011-09-15
Date of Last Exhibit 300A Update: 2012-02-27
Date of Last Revision: 2012-02-27

Agency: 010 - Department of the Interior **Bureau:** 10 - Bureau of Reclamation

Investment Part Code: 01

Investment Category: 00 - Agency Investments

1. Name of this Investment: BOR1-PABS (Program and Budget System)

2. Unique Investment Identifier (Ull): 010-000000295

Section B: Investment Detail

- 1. Provide a brief summary of the investment, including a brief description of the related benefit to the mission delivery and management support areas, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment. Include an explanation of any dependencies between this investment and other investments.**

PABS is operational and in steady-state status, with no planned enhancements through 2014. Operation and maintenance costs remain steady at approximately \$1M dollars per year. Capital Planning Investment Control (CPIC) for this investment is accomplished through Reclamation's CIO Council and Investment Review Board processes. This Investment accomplishes Reclamation-wide budget analysis, formulation, and execution tracking in support of the President's budget as approved by Congress. PABS provides the ability to consolidate, analyze, and modify budgetary data within Regions and Area Offices as well as at the Bureau-wide level. PABS provides the Commissioner's Office with an automated tool for Funds Control management. PABS provides an interface to the accounting system, FFS, and allows for budget line item tracking/execution as it relates to BOR mission/goals. PABS plays a significant role in BOR's implementation of the Department of Interior's Activity Based Costing and Performance Management initiative.

- 2. How does this investment close in part or in whole any identified performance gap in support of the mission delivery and management support areas? Include an assessment of the program impact if this investment isn't fully funded.**

PABS reduces costs of storing budget data in multiple systems, eliminates massive data

reconciliation efforts, improves the timely availability of budget data for management decisions, and provides a secure tool for appropriated funds control. The impact of not receiving funding would result in the direction of the Director, Program and Budget to direct Reclamation Budget Officers to establish the manual PC- Based documents/spreadsheets. The Commissioner's Office Program and Budget staff will be responsible for maintaining manual PC-Based Program and Financing Table. They will be responsible for manually recording changes to the Table reflecting reprogramming, fund transfers, and any other financial event that affects current year funding authority, or future year budget formulation for all projects and funding sources. The PABS System Administrator will be responsible for coordination with the Finance and Accounting Division to accomplish the entry of these changes into the accounting system as required. (The budget authority would not be passed to the Federal Finance System (FFS) via the Interface. Manual documents and vouchers would have to be created to be entered into FFS for all projects and funding sources). Each Regional Budget Office will be responsible for manually recording changes to their Program Item records, and keeping data in balance with the P/F Table funding amounts.

3. Provide a list of this investment's accomplishments in the prior year (PY), including projects or useful components/project segments completed, new functionality added, or operational efficiency achieved.

PABS continued to be the main tool for budget formulation and supported the Reclamation-wide budget analysis, formulation, and execution in support of the President's Budget, as approved by Congress, and supported tracking of appropriated projects and other activities. PABS provided the ability to consolidate, analyze, and modify budgetary data with regions as well as at the bureau-wide level. PABS data was interfaced monthly with the accounting system, FFS, and allowed budget execution tracking.

4. Provide a list of planned accomplishments for current year (CY) and budget year (BY).

In the current year PABS will continue to be the main tool for budget formulation and support the Reclamation-wide budget analysis, formulation, and execution in support of the President's Budget, as approved by Congress, and support tracking of appropriated projects and other activities. PABS will provide the ability to consolidate, analyze, and modify budgetary data with regions as well as at the bureau-wide level. PABS data will continue to be interfaced monthly with the accounting system, FFS, and allow budget execution tracking. In the budget year the continuity of PABS is to be determined. The new FBMS system will be installed in the budget year but may or may not include the Budget Formulation Module. If the Budget Formulation Module of FBMS is implemented it is anticipated that the DOI FBMS Project Management Team will review and recommend solutions based on software requirements. However, if the Budget Formulation Module is not implemented a new business solution will need to be considered to improve or replace PABS.

5. Provide the date of the Charter establishing the required Integrated Program Team (IPT) for this investment. An IPT must always include, but is not limited to: a qualified fully-dedicated IT program manager, a contract specialist, an information technology specialist, a security specialist and a business process owner before OMB will approve this program investment budget. IT Program Manager, Business Process Owner and

Contract Specialist must be Government Employees.

2011-08-31

Section C: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.C.1 Summary of Funding

	PY-1 & Prior	PY 2011	CY 2012	BY 2013
Planning Costs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
DME (Excluding Planning) Costs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
DME (Including Planning) Govt. FTEs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
Sub-Total DME (Including Govt. FTE):	0	0	0	0
O & M Costs:	\$9.1	\$0.7	\$0.7	\$0.7
O & M Govt. FTEs:	\$5.9	\$0.3	\$0.4	\$0.4
Sub-Total O & M Costs (Including Govt. FTE):	\$15.0	\$1.0	\$1.1	\$1.1
Total Cost (Including Govt. FTE):	\$15.0	\$1.0	\$1.1	\$1.1
Total Govt. FTE costs:	\$5.9	\$0.3	\$0.4	\$0.4
# of FTE rep by costs:	18	2	2	2
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (\$)		\$-0.1	\$0.0	
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (%)		-7.30%	0.00%	

2. If the funding levels have changed from the FY 2012 President's Budget request for PY or CY, briefly explain those changes:

BY12 passback submission: No changes were made to the BY12 submission from September 2010. This is in line with BY12 passback guidance.

Section D: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

Table I.D.1 Contracts and Acquisition Strategy

Contract Type	EVM Required	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	IDV Agency ID	Solicitation ID	Ultimate Contract Value (\$M)	Type	PBSA ?	Effective Date	Actual or Expected End Date
---------------	--------------	-----------------------	--	--	---------------	-----------------	-------------------------------	------	--------	----------------	-----------------------------

NONE

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

NA. The Interagency agreement with NBC does not require EVM.

Exhibit 300B: Performance Measurement Report

Section A: General Information

Date of Last Change to Activities:

Section B: Project Execution Data

Table II.B.1 Projects					
Project ID	Project Name	Project Description	Project Start Date	Project Completion Date	Project Lifecycle Cost (\$M)
NONE					

Activity Summary								
Roll-up of Information Provided in Lowest Level Child Activities								
Project ID	Name	Total Cost of Project Activities (\$M)	End Point Schedule Variance (in days)	End Point Schedule Variance (%)	Cost Variance (\$M)	Cost Variance (%)	Total Planned Cost (\$M)	Count of Activities
NONE								

Key Deliverables								
Project Name	Activity Name	Description	Planned Completion Date	Projected Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Duration (in days)	Schedule Variance (in days)	Schedule Variance (%)
NONE								

Section C: Operational Data

Table II.C.1 Performance Metrics

Metric Description	Unit of Measure	FEA Performance Measurement Category Mapping	Measurement Condition	Baseline	Target for PY	Actual for PY	Target for CY	Reporting Frequency
Phone calls regarding inaccurate reports from customers	Number of calls regarding inaccurate reports from	Customer Results - Customer Benefit	Under target	10.000000	9.000000	9.000000	9.000000	Semi-Annual
Frequency of producing system expenditure reports	Frequency throughout the year of producing a syste	Mission and Business Results - Management of Government Resources	Over target	8.000000	9.000000	9.000000	9.000000	Semi-Annual
Financial record accuracy	Accuracy percentage	Process and Activities - Financial	Over target	95.000000	96.000000	97.000000	97.000000	Semi-Annual
System availability	Time percentage	Technology - Efficiency	Over target	95.000000	96.000000	96.000000	96.000000	Monthly
All required supporting document artifacts for this "major" IT Investment (as identified in the current budget year OMB A-11 guidance) will be kept current & be available for DOI/OMB review within the 10 day requirement.	All current artifacts in DOI sharepoint site	Process and Activities - Quality	Over target	8.000000	8.000000	8.000000	8.000000	Semi-Annual